DISCUSSIONS

Welcome by the OTIF Secretariat

Mr Bas Leermakers (head of OTIF’s technical section) welcomed the participants (List of participants Annex I) and opened the 28th session of WG TECH in Bern.

1. Approval of the agenda

The Secretariat explained that the provisional agenda had been sent to participants with the invitation on 9 December 2015 (circular A 92-03/512.2015). It announced that under agenda item 13, any other business, two items would be discussed: the Commission’s staff working document on railway noise and the draft agenda for CTE 9. Since there were no objections, the agenda was adopted accordingly.

Conclusion: WG TECH approved the agenda for the 28th session (Annex II).

2. General information (from the OTIF Secretariat)

The Secretariat introduced the meeting to Mr. Çağlar Tabak, the third trainee in OTIF’s in-house expert training programme. The Secretariat once again invited all non-EU MS to nominate experts for the training programme in 2016 and to benefit from it.

The Secretariat informed the meeting that there were no changes with regard to the map of COTIF application.

In connection with the developments that took place after the 27th WG TECH, the Secretariat informed WG TECH about the following:

- The joint OTIF/COM expert RID/ATMF working group held its first meeting in Bern on 3 and 4 February 2016. The group will analyse the results of a study carried out for the European Commission (EC) in March 2013, identify new elements, propose legislative and non-legislative actions where needed and propose a general framework for coordination between RID and ATMF. This was the first time that two of OTIF’s committees had formally cooperated.

- The newly set up ERA Working Party on the revision of OPE TSI held its first meeting in Lille on 12 January 2016. The OTIF Secretariat took part in it. The OTIF Secretariat reminded the WP about the equivalence between parts of the OPE TSI and COTIF. If amendments to these equivalent provisions were proposed, amendments should be made to both legal systems.

- On 7 January 2016, the non-EU Competent Authorities had been invited to review and comment on two documents: the OTIF Secretariat’s draft position paper about the possible extension of the scope of the ECM regulation and ERA’s questionnaire regarding ECM certification. Before the end of January deadline, the OTIF Secretariat had received feedback from CH and RS and had forwarded it to ERA, together with the position paper. The purpose of the paper was to provide input to ERA’s WP on revising the ECM regulation. In its position paper, the OTIF Secretariat illustrated the differences between EU and non-EU States concerning the possible extension of the scope of the ECM certification scheme. Some of the main points were:

  o The mandatory certification of ECMs for freight wagons had been fully implemented and had not been called into question.
  o In the case of locomotives and train sets, it was quite common that the RU operating the vehicle was also the keeper and the ECM of the vehicle. In such a case, there were no questions concerning responsibilities and the railway undertaking was in a good position to influence the quality of maintenance, and
  o The number of these kinds of vehicles that are used internationally under COTIF is limited, so certification of ECM might lead to relatively high costs per vehicle with limited benefits.

o Application of the ECM certification to vehicles other than freight wagons on a voluntary basis could be a good way forward.

CER noted that in the future, RUs might choose not to be an ECM and keepers of their own rolling stock, which meant that ECM certification could be useful.

UNIFE referred to the proliferation of a business model in which rolling stock is owned by financial companies and leased to RUs.

IT believed the scope of ECM certification should be extended in order to prepare the rail system for the future.

CER stated that the SMS of an RU and maintenance were linked. If an RU’s safety certificate is not valid in another State, this may lead to questions on how the RU organised the maintenance of its vehicles. At the same time, CER indicated that a voluntary ECM certification scheme may be sufficient to tackle this.

CH was also of the view that voluntary certification could remedy the concerns of NSAs. A mandatory scheme would add costs which may not be justified.

FR suggested that ERA’s impact assessment could compare the EU and non-EU situations.

CH and FR supported the OTIF Secretariat’s approach. In addition, both highlighted that, in addition to analysis by the EU, there must also be a clear evaluation of possible costs and benefits to non-EU OTIF MS.

The representative of the EU reiterated the Commission’s opinion regarding the impact assessment of revising the ECM regulation and noted that ERA should take into account the situation in non-EU OTIF MS.

ERA confirmed to WG TECH that the impact assessment would also consider the non-EU situation and that the input from OTIF would be taken into account.

- The ERA ad-hoc task force on the sectoral scheme for accreditation of NoBos under Directive 2008/57/EC held its last meeting in Lille on 3 December 2015. The OTIF Secretariat took part in all its meetings. The recommendation, which ERA planned to submit to the EC, consisted of a sectoral scheme for the accreditation of conformity assessment bodies for the purpose of notification. The sectoral accreditation scheme used standard EN ISO /IEC 17065:2012 as its baseline.

- ERA’s workshop on ECVVR and ERATV² was held on 9 February 2016. Beside the OTIF Secretariat, CH and TR as non-EU MS also took part in this workshop. ERA noted that if need be, additional training could be organised for the other non-EU OTIF MS connected to VVR, which are using ERA’s software. As of 7 January 2016 all EU MS and CH and RS are connected to VVR. ERA invited BA and ME, who had problems in connecting to VVR, to list the problems and to ask ERA for assistance. The Secretariat suggested that all non-EU MS connected to VVR should attend the following workshops and benefit from them.

- The Secretariat introduced its idea of developing “Interoperability beyond the EU” in the scope of COTIF. In practical terms, COTIF could evolve further so that it also provides a basis for interoperability, meaning that complete trains could cross borders without interruption. This would be complementary to the scope of ATMF, which basically supports the exchange of vehicles (and not the operation of complete trains) in international traffic. Whereas the EU concept of interoperability was linked to market opening and competition, COTIF should not prescribe or rely on a particular market model. After explaining the conceptual differences between COTIF and EU operational safety provisions and four virtual levels of harmonisation of international traffic¹, the OTIF Secretariat suggested that further development could be achieved by building a network of compatible railway systems in the following two steps:

---

² European Centralised Virtual Vehicle Register (ECVVR) and European Register of Authorised Types of railway Vehicles (ERATV)

¹ Slide 21 of the OTIF Secretariat’s presentation
Creation of a new appendix to COTIF, and
Creation of secondary safety and operational provisions

and to this end, presented the provisional calendar of work for the forthcoming period.

CH welcomed the ideas as a good development.

The representative of the EU underlined the importance of the link between harmonised safety principles and the interoperability of trains. It supported the basic ideas of this initiative.

In its response to a question from RS as to what a new appendix would achieve, the Secretariat explained that in practical terms, a new appendix would avoid possible numerous separate bilateral agreements between States regarding interoperable railway traffic.

With regard to the next steps envisaged, the Secretariat explained that none of the existing organs of OTIF fully seemed to cover the scope of interoperability and safety, although these issues are probably most closely associated with the CTE. Nevertheless, the main decisions would have to be taken within the competence of the Revision Committee and the General Assembly. For the next CTE 9, the OTIF Secretariat would prepare a discussion document.

3. **Election of chairman**

The Secretariat nominated Switzerland (Mr Roland Bacher) to chair the session. No other nominations were proposed. Mr Roland Bacher accepted the nomination and WG TECH unanimously elected CH, in the shape of Mr Roland Bacher, to chair this session.

The Chairman thanked participants for the confidence it had placed in him.

4. **Approval of the minutes of the 27th session of WG TECH**

On 18 December 2015, the OTIF Secretariat had sent the provisional minutes to delegates who had attended the 27th session of WG TECH (17-18 November 2015). It had amended the provisional minutes in accordance with the correction requested by CER and uploaded them for the attention of WG TECH 28. The minutes including the amendments were approved.

**Conclusion:** The minutes of the 27th session of WG TECH were approved with the correction requested by CER.

5. **Comments from Member States on documents proposed for CTE9:**

   a. **Draft amended UTP WAG**

   The Secretariat informed the meeting that DE had updated the comments it had made at the previous WG TECH on UTP WAG and forwarded them to the OTIF Secretariat. The OTIF Secretariat had analysed these comments and included them in the uploaded document to be discussed at the WG TECH 28 meeting. The OTIF Secretariat had also received comments from IT and RS, which were received just before the meeting and were not therefore included in the uploaded document, but they were shown on the screen during the meeting.

   DE and IT proposed that the requirement for the traceability of axles (point 4.2.3.6.4) should be applied in both EU and non-EU MS. Following this change, footnote 36 relating to the maintenance plan (point 4.5.3) should be deleted.

   The Secretariat was of the view that the document “Final report on the activities of the Task Force Freight Wagon Maintenance” could be referred to in full width text if the annexes were also made public.
and were given reference numbers and dates. Neither of these conditions were met at the time of the meeting.

ERA understood the problem and confirmed that it would provide the OTIF Secretariat with the elements required.

RS noted that recording data on the rolling stock in ERATV was not in the scope of COTIF, so the second paragraph in point 4.8 should only appear in the right-hand column, rather than across both columns. RS’s suggestion was tacitly adopted.

The Chairman summarised the discussion and concluded this item as follows:

1. The document was ready for adoption at CTE 9.
2. The amendment concerning the traceability of axles was accepted on condition that ERA provides the report and its annexes with a reference number and date and makes the annexes public.
3. The deadline for the Secretariat to publish the documents for the CTE in three languages was 7 April 2016. Therefore, any additional comments on the draft UTP WAG should be sent to the OTIF Secretariat as soon as possible.

b. Draft amended UTP GEN-G (CSM risk assessment)

Document: TECH_16004_WGT28_5b_e_GEN_G_v2 Draft amendment of the UTP GEN-G as submitted to WG TECH 28

The Secretariat informed the meeting that it had not received any comments on the document that had been uploaded.

CER was of the view that the actors listed who implement risk control measures defined as “proposer” (point 3, item 11.) should be aligned with the changes in the EU, as defined by the fourth railway package. It also requested clarification of the term: “regional regulation” (point 6.4).

The Secretariat was of the opinion that CTE should not discuss this topic until the EU had decided its final position. With regard to the clarification requested, it referred to the regulations of the EU.

The representative of the EU agreed with the Secretariat’s view. In addition, she underlined that no change should be made within OTIF until ERA had finished its impact assessment and after the recast of the Directive on railway safety had entered into force (planned for) in June 2016. She explained that this topic would be also assessed within the framework of the preliminary analysis of the fourth railway package impact assessment on APTU and ATMF carried out by the EC.

RS agreed with both the Secretariat and the EU and noted that CTE should await the final version of the document from the EU.

The Chairman summarised the discussion and noted CER’s input regarding the term “proposer” (point 3, item 11.). WG TECH anticipated that equivalence between OTIF and EU legislation after the recast of the Directive on railway safety entered into force would be achieved in June 2017. He also noted the difficulty in making specific changes to the OTIF text before it was finalised in the EU.

Conclusion: WG TECH considered that the document was ready for adoption at CTE 9.

6. TAF transposition into COTIF

a. Information about the workshop

Document: TECH_16008_WGT28_6b_e_TAF TSI Executive Summary Executive Summary_20151030

The Secretariat reminded the meeting about CTE’s request regarding the need to organise a regional workshop on TAF. It also informed the meeting that at the preparatory meeting held on 19 November 2015, the OTIF Secretariat and ERA had discussed and agreed the agenda for the workshop. In addition, the meeting was informed that the OTIF Secretariat had put a lot of effort into finding a workshop
location in a non-EU MS, but without success. Nevertheless, following the kind invitation of Rail Net Europe (RNE), it was agreed that RNE would host the TAF regional workshop in Vienna on 27 April 2016.

The formal invitation to the workshop would be sent to the non-EU Member States in the coming weeks. The OTIF Secretariat underlined that it was not always the competent authorities that dealt with TAF. It therefore invited all the non-EU Member States’ potential users of TAF specifications - freight operating companies, railway undertakings, infrastructure managers, wagon keepers, ECMs and railway customers, such as logistics companies - to attend the workshop and to support WG TECH’s policy discussion concerning the option to transpose the core TAF specifications and the appendices into OTIF’s legal framework.

The Chairman noted that there were no further comments regarding the preparatory OTIF Secretariat and ERA meeting. He emphasised that TAF was one of the points which could also improve cooperation between EU and non-EU MS, and to this end invited MSs’ competent authorities to forward the invitation to all possible business users in their countries.

7. **Information on developments in EU regulations which will affect equivalence with OTIF law and discussion on next steps (EC/ERA)**

**Revision of CSMs for conformity assessment and CSM on supervision**

Christoph KAUPAT of ERA informed the meeting that it anticipated transposition of the TAF into COTIF in 2016/2017 and that the text would be prepared for a vote at CTE 10 in June 2017 at the earliest. It was highlighted that the technical documents would then be left out of the UTF framework, in other words TAF TSI would be transposed into a UTP TAF, with a reference to the technical appendices on the ERA website. The Secretariat reminded the meeting about its limited resources for participating in TAF related meetings and invited experts to support the OTIF Secretariat in this respect.

The Chairman noted that there were no objections to this proposal and concluded that WG TECH agreed that TAF would be transposed into COTIF in accordance with the Secretariat’s proposal, i.e. in June 2017.

**Revision of the LOC&PAS and WAG TSIs**

Christoph KAUPAT presented the following status update of the revision of the LOC&PAS and WAG TSIs:

- A recommendation to revise TSI LOC&PAS had been sent to the EC in December 2015\(^6\). Three open points were closed: passive safety requirements for heavy haul freight locomotives, variable gauge wheelsets and aerodynamic effects for 1520 mm, 1524 mm and 1668 mm track gauge systems.


\(^{5}\) Commission Regulation (EU) No 1077/2012 of 16 November 2012 on a common safety method for supervision by national safety authorities after issuing a safety certificate or safety authorisation

\(^{6}\) To be distinguished from ERA’s recommendation for TSI LOC&PAS ‘Unique Authorisation’, WG TECH 26, page 9, 9-10 June 2015, Amiens
During 2016, ERA was also planning to close another three open points: braking system independent of adhesion conditions (Eddy current brake), aerodynamic effects on ballasted track for rolling stock of design speed more than 190 km/h and on-board energy measurement system. The additional optional requirements for compatibility with RIC coaches would be also introduced.

ERA’s recommendation to revise TSI WAG would be submitted to the EC by March 2016. It planned to close all open points: on-board axle bearing condition monitoring, test conditions for on-track tests (running dynamic behavior) and variable gauge wheelsets.

With regard to the common elements of the work, both working parties would define rules relating to EC type/design examination certificates, the general procedure for modifying approved vehicle types, amendments to chapter 6 to better reflect the impact of specific cases on IC, together with a list of specific cases in point 7.3 (instead of a reference to NNTR), and lastly, the references to standards, UIC leaflets and technical documents would be updated.

The representative of the EU confirmed that ERA’s recommendation for TSI LOC&PAS ‘Unique Authorisation’ had been submitted to the EC and that its adoption was linked to the process relating to the fourth railway package.

The Chairman noted that ERA had included the topic of interchangeable coaches in its work.

OPE TSI work programme 2016/2017

Christoph KAUPAT presented the main elements of the TSI OPE work programme for 2016/2017. The programme would further develop Appendices B and C of the TSI OPE\(^8\), with the aim of further harmonising operating rules and ensuring interoperability. One of the main tasks would be the reduction and/or deletion of unnecessary national safety rules already covered by EU legislation. This process had three stages. The last stage would result in all MS sending ERA their national implementation plans (NIPs). It was anticipated that the work would be finalised during 2018 and the revised TSI OPE would enter into force on 1 January 2019, together with the entry into force of the fourth railway package.

The Chairman noted that the entry into force of the revised TSI OPE was planned for 1 January 2019 and opened the discussion.

The representative of the EU informed the meeting that the topic of NIPs was still under discussion.

IT highlighted the importance of reducing the number of national safety rules. IT was of the view that the EU’s notification system also needed to be updated, as the present process was time-consuming.

CH wondered whether the language would be a topic for harmonisation for this WP. CH was concerned that there may be a conflict between requirements for language skills and to standardise the language with standard forms.

ERA explained why language skill requirements were also defined in the Directive for train drivers\(^9\), although staff competencies and health and safety conditions were being developed in the context of the interoperability directive, in particular as part of the OPE TSI.

The representative of the EU confirmed that language skills was one of the topics that had been discussed in developing the fourth railway package. She was of the view that a short presentation about language requirements and the discussion that had preceded the decision at EU level might be useful for WG TECH. To this end, she invited ERA to prepare such a presentation for the next meeting of WG TECH.

---

7 Topic relating to interchangeable coaches, i.e. agenda item 9
8 Common operational principles and rules (Appendix B) and safety-related communications methodology (Appendix C)
Revision of the NVR Specification

The Secretariat informed the meeting that it had forwarded ERA´s questionnaire on the analysis of how the data fields in the NVR are currently used and to identify common elements to the harmonised NVR dataset to be added with a deadline 15 February. The importance of maintaining the functionality of NVRs of non-EU OTIF MSs that were already connected to the ECVVR was again highlighted.

The Chairman noted that such an established channel of communication through the OTIF Secretariat was very good and invited non-EU OTIF MS to continue to use it.

Conclusion on item 7:

- WG TECH thanked to Mr. Kaupat for his presentations on the revision of CSMs for conformity assessment and CSM on supervision, the revision of the LOC&PAS and WAG TSIs and the TSI OPE work programme 2016/2017.
- WG TECH welcomed the fact that ERA had included the topic relating to interchangeable coaches in its work.
- WG TECH invited ERA to prepare for WG TECH 29 a short presentation about language requirements and the discussion that had preceded the decision at EU level.

8. Fourth Railway Package - assessment of consequences for equivalence with COTIF (EC)

The representative of the EU informed the meeting about the fourth railway package status update. She reminded the meeting that the baseline idea that had led to the Commission’s fourth railway package proposal was to improve the transport system by making it interoperable, more efficient and more competitive. Its initial proposal had been improved after public consultations and the involvement of stakeholders and the railway sector. In addition to what had been already said at WG TECH 2610, she informed the meeting that the Council had adopted its position at the first reading on the “technical pillar” on 10 December 2015 and after adoption in the European Parliament it would be published, while discussion on the “market pillar” was still ongoing. She reminded the meeting that the fourth railway package would be transposed into the EU MSs’ national laws three years after its adoption by the Council and the European Parliament. In order to support and further harmonise implementation of the related directives, the meeting was introduced to the new definitions. A new framework for the adoption of TSIs, i.e. a two step approach, was also highlighted:

- Objectives specific to each TSI to be defined by delegated act, and
- The content of TSI to be adopted by an implementing act.

She informed the meeting that the comitology process11 would apply to ERA´s recommendations, which it would submit to the EC before 31 December 2016. In addition, she informed the meeting that RISC 7512 had mandated ERA to revise the CCS TSI, for the last time for a TSI that is in force.

In response to the Chairman´s question as to who would adopt these acts, the representative of the EU explained that the Commission was empowered to adopt the delegated act in order to set out the specific objectives of each TSI. A delegated act may be revoked by the European Parliament or by the Council. In order to implement the specific objectives set out in the delegated act, the Commission would establish TSIs by means of implementing acts. For an implementing act, the powers of the European Parliament and Council were limited and there was no possibility of a veto. These two new legal instruments had been created for the sake of speed and efficiency and it would enable the Commission to delegate specific tasks to ERA.

The representative of the EU explained that one of the major changes would be ERA’s new tasks in connection with the authorisation to place vehicles on the market. Applications for the authorisation of vehicles in more than one MS would be handled by ERA. In the case of authorisations for a single MS,

---

10 WG TECH 26, item 9.a, page 12, 9-10 September 2015, Amiens
11 An adopted formal opinion of the RISC committee before the Commission adopts its legal document.
12 9 and 10 February 2016
applicants could choose whether to address their applications to ERA or to the national NSA. ERA would work together with all NSAs and to this end, would have to conclude cooperation agreements with them.

UNIFE wondered whether the new framework for TSIs significantly changed the process of “placing a vehicle on the market” and “placing a vehicle in service”. In its view, the responsibility of the industry should end when a vehicle was placed on the market. He also wondered whether the process of authorisation would be harmonised among NSAs.

The representative of the EU explained that it was only possible to “place a vehicle on the market once the “vehicle authorisation for placing on the market” had been received. In practical terms, the authorisation had to indicate the area in which the vehicle would be used and certify compatibility between the vehicle and the infrastructure and that the vehicle complied with the relevant TSIs and, where applicable, the relevant national rules. “Placing a vehicle in service” would remain the responsibility of the RUs.

In reply to the Secretariat’s question as to whether the mutual recognition of the first admission to operation\textsuperscript{13} would be affected, the representative of the EU said that it might be necessary to confirm equivalence between “vehicle authorisation to place in the market” and “placing in service”, which should be further analysed.

To continue her presentation, the representative of the EU informed the meeting about the renewed framework for railway safety and highlighted ERA’s greater role in the process of reducing the number of national rules. With regard to the European vehicle register, she explained that it would incorporate the national vehicle registers, with the aim of harmonising the interface with all users.

In reply to the Chairman’s question as to when the process of aligning COTIF could start, the representative of the EU explained that a stable text of amendments to both directives would probably be available in June 2016. This would be followed by a lot of interaction between the Commission and NSAs, resulting in the adoption of all the necessary legislation by 2018.

The Secretariat added that after June 2016, the OTIF Secretariat would have two years to react. In practical terms, this was a good starting point for its planning and how to deal with the amendments to COTIF.

The Chairman summarised item 8 as follows:

- WG TECH thanked Ms San Martin for her presentation on the technical pillar of the fourth railway package: a renewed framework for railway safety, and for the useful overview of all the parts of the fourth railway package and the concept behind it.
- WG TECH noted that two years after the entry into force of both directives, the EU would define all the practical arrangements/legal provisions.
- WG TECH also noted that after the adoption of legal texts in the EU, the OTIF Secretariat would adopt its work plan accordingly.
- WG TECH once again highlighted the importance of maintaining equivalence between OTIF and EU legislation.

9. Status update on interchangeable coaches:

Document: TECH_16002_WGT28_9b_e_Interchangeable coaches

Interchangeable coaches; REQ

Analysis after the Workshop on 4.11.2015

The Secretariat informed the meeting that ERA’s WP on TSI LOC&PAS was developing an additional clause for vehicles intended for general operation, based on the table that was presented at the previous WG TECH. The table was based on the requirements defined by CER and discussed at the Commission’s workshop in Brussels on 4 November 2015, with the participation of COM, ERA, CER, UNIFE and the OTIF Secretariat. The Secretariat pointed out that this was the first time a topic originally initiated by WG TECH had been transferred to an ERA WP for further development.

\textsuperscript{13} UTP WAG, point 7.1.2.
With regard to IT’s concerns that unique authorisation was not possible for locomotives and train sets for the time being, the Secretariat explained that for coaches, i.e. for vehicles that correspond to a unit without an onboard CCS-subsystem (ERTMS or class B) or a non-active onboard CCS-subsystem, it should be possible.

CER reiterated its earlier position with regard to where to list optional requirements for interchangeability and how to mark these interchangeable vehicles.\(^{14}\)

The Chairman noted the progress made on this topic and highlighted the useful contributions from CER, UNIFE and ERA and the roles of the Commission and the OTIF Secretariat, who were both managing the whole process. He also noted that rules for interchangeable coaches would be ready by 2017, or 2018 at the latest.

### 10. Draft explanatory document for UTP GEN-D (assessment procedures)

Document: [TECH_16005_WGT28_10_e EU-OTIF](#) Draft explanatory document for UTP GEN-D

The Secretariat had drafted an explanatory document on the principles and structure of the assessment procedure of the UTP. The Secretariat explained that the document would be submitted to CTE 9 for discussion. The Secretariat would only publish it once it had been reviewed by the CTE.

**Conclusion on item 10:** WG TECH took note of the UTP GEN-D explanatory document and instructed the OTIF Secretariat to submit it to the CTE.

### 11. EU - OTIF equivalence table

Document: [TECH_16006_WGT28_11_e EU-OTIF](#) Equivalence table EU/OTIF

The Secretariat informed the meeting about recent developments on both sides of the EU-OTIF equivalence table. It informed the meeting that the following had been added:

- A recommendation concerning the same TSI LOC&PAS in order to “close the open points”

The Chairman noted the information on the updated version of the equivalence table and asked WG TECH members to give the OTIF Secretariat adequate and timely feedback, if necessary.

### 12. Next sessions

The 9\(^{th}\) session of the Committee of Technical Experts will be held on 7 and 8 June 2016 in Bern.

The 29\(^{th}\) session of WG TECH will be held on 7 and 8 September 2016 in Lille.

The 30\(^{th}\) session of WG TECH will be held on 16 and 17 November 2016 in Bern.

### 13. Any other business

#### a. Commission staff working document on railway noise


The representative of the EU informed the meeting about the status of the Commission’s staff working document and the subsequent steps. She reminded participants that this topic had been presented at WG 27, item 9, page 10, 17–18 November 2015, Bern.

---

\(^{14}\) WG TECH 27, item 9, page 10, 17–18 November 2015, Bern
TECH 26\textsuperscript{15}. She also explained that the future revision of NOI TSI would also take into account all comments on this document that had been received.

In addition to the information provided by the EU, the Secretariat pointed out that this document had also been presented at RISC 75. It had noted in the discussions that noise abatement did not have the same priority in different EU MSs. Some States feared that noise abatement would make rail transport more expensive and less competitive, whilst others thought that noise abatement was a condition for rail transport to grow without losing public support.

CH informed the meeting that according to Swiss national provisions, from 2020 only silent wagons\textsuperscript{16} may run on the Swiss network. In addition, CH explained the numerous reasons that had led to these provisions, i.e. lack of space, disturbance of inhabitants along railway lines and, above all, environmental protection. CH highlighted its dedication to shifting more freight from road to rail, which was very important and was one of the main tools used to reduce traffic noise in Switzerland. CH did not welcome being mentioned in the document as a state that breached the principles of interoperability, as the Swiss aim of noise reduction was shared with several EU MS.

The Secretariat underlined that unilateral measures were not in the spirit of COTIF and that banning noisy wagons would not be possible under the current rules of COTIF. Member States of OTIF could of course make a declaration no longer to apply certain provisions of the Convention or of certain Appendices, but this would hamper international traffic or make it impossible. The OTIF Secretariat suggested that a multilateral solution to this problem should be found. It hoped that CH would also continue to apply COTIF in full after 2020.

The representative of the EU agreed that as well as maintaining interoperability, work on achieving a modal shift was also very important. She informed the meeting that after the Commission had prepared it, the proposal for the (future) revision of the NOI TSI would be discussed with EU MS and CH, which would avoid CH having to cease applying COTIF or certain parts of it.

CER reminded the meeting that wagons retrofitted with composite brake blocks required higher maintenance costs. He was of the view that banning wagons from the network should be delayed as long as possible. It would be very challenging to retrofit all wagons by 2020, not just financially but also logistically. He supported the idea of finding consensus on this issue.

The Chairman noted that the Commission, in cooperation with the EU MS and CH, should first find a solution, rather than the CTE.

CER commented that this could be a problem for the future process of revising the NOI TSI. It also suggested that rail track barriers could be an alternative measure. The expertise of the “Shift*Rail” initiative could be called upon to look into this.

The Chairman noted that this issue would be discussed further at future meetings.

\textbf{b. Draft agenda for CTE 9}

The Secretariat had submitted proposals to WG TECH for the agenda of CTE 9 (Draft agenda for the CTE 9 Annex III). Since there were no objections, the draft agenda was adopted accordingly.

\textbf{Conclusion on item 13.b:} the draft agenda for CTE 9 was approved.

\textbf{Closing remarks:}

The Chairman summarised the meeting, highlighting interchangeable coaches as an excellent example of good cooperation between all those involved in the work of WG TECH.

As this was the last OTIF WG TECH meeting Mr. Anthony Godart (FR) would attend, the Chairman thanked him for his productive cooperation and wished him all the best for the future.

The Chairman thanked all the participants for the productive discussion and the OTIF Secretariat for the excellent preparation of the meeting, and closed the 28\textsuperscript{th} WG TECH meeting.

\textsuperscript{15} WG TECH 26, item 9b., page 14, 9-10 September 2015, Amiens

\textsuperscript{16} The wagon is considered as silent if is equipped with composite (K or LL) brake blocks
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**France/Frankreich/Canada**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Anthony Godart</th>
<th>Chargé d'affaires européennes et internationales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60 rue de la Vallé, CS 11758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-80017 Amiens Cedex 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+33 (6) 45 71 97 73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax +33 (3) 22 33 96 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail <a href="mailto:anthony.godart@securite-ferroviaire.fr">anthony.godart@securite-ferroviaire.fr</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Canisius Gassa</th>
<th>Project officer on European &amp; international affairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60 rue de la Vallée, CS 11758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FR-80017 Amiens Cedex 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+33 (3) 22 33 96 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail <a href="mailto:canisius.gassa@securite-ferroviaire.fr">canisius.gassa@securite-ferroviaire.fr</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Italie/Italien/Italy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Rocco Cammarata</th>
<th>Head of Technical Standards of Vehicles Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agenzia Nazionale per la Sicurezza delle Ferrovie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Piazza della Stazione 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IT-50123 Firenze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+39 (055) 298 97 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fax +39 (055) 238 25 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-mail <a href="mailto:rocco.cammarata@ansf.it">rocco.cammarata@ansf.it</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Roumanie/Rumänien/Romania

Mme/Fr./Ms Paulina Miller
Expert
Railway Safety Authority
393 Calea Grivitei
Sector Bucuresti
Bucarest
Roumanie
☎ +40 (2) 130 722 05
Fax +40 (2) 130 768 06
E-mail carmen.miller@aferr.ro

M./Hr./Mr. Mihaita Cazacu
State Inspector
Railway Safety Authority
393 Calea Grivitei
Sector 1
Bucarest
Roumanie
☎ +40 (241) 580 254
Fax
E-mail mihai_cazacu@aferr.ro

Serbie/Serbien/Serbia

M./Hr./Mr. Milan Popović
Head of the department for regulations
Directorate for Railways
Direkcija za zeleznice
Nemanjina 6
RS-11000 Beograd
☎ +381 (11) 361 67 96
Fax +381 (11) 361 82 91
E-mail milan.popovic@raildir.gov.rs

Suisse/Schweiz/Switzerland

M./Hr./Mr. Roland Bacher
Bundesamt für Verkehr
Sektion Zulassungen + Regelwerke
CH-3003 Bern
☎ +41 58 464 12 12
Fax +41 58 462 55 95
E-mail roland.bacher@bav.admin.ch

M./Hr./Mr. Christophe Le Borgne
Chef de projet Interoperabilité
Bundesamt für Verkehr
Mühlestrasse 6
3063 Ittigen
☎ +41 58 461 89 65
Fax +41 58 462 78 26
E-mail christophe.le-borgne@bav.admin.ch
Union européenne/Europäische Union/
European Union

Mme/Fr./Ms  Ainhoa San Martin  
Policy Officer
European Commission
Rue de Mot 28
BE-1040 Bruxelles

☎ +32 (2) 229 862 60
Fax
E-mail  ainhoa.san-martin@ec.europa.eu

European Railway Agency (ERA)

M./Hr./Mr.  Christoph Kaupat  
ERA - European Railway Agency
Interoperability Unit
120 Rue Marc Lefrancq
BP 20392
FR-59307 Valenciennes Cedex

☎ +33 (3) 27 09 67 90
Fax  +33 (3) 27 09 68 90
E-mail  christoph.kaupat@era.europa.eu
II. Organisations et associations internationales non-gouvernementales  
Nichtstaatliche internationale Organisationen und Verbände  
International non-governmental Organisations or Associations

CER

M./Hr./Mr. Jean Baptiste Simonnet  
Senior Adviser on ERA and Research-related Issues  
Community of European and Infrastructure Companies (CER) AISBL  
Avenue des Arts 53  
BE-1000 Brussels

☎ +32  
Mobile +32 (491) 16 21 82  
E-mail Jean-baptiste.simonnet@cer.be

M./Hr./Mr. Christian Chavanel  
Interoperability & Standardization Director  
SNCF  
Campus Etoiles  
2 place des étoiles  
Bureau 5 C19  
FR-93633 La Plaine Saint Denis

☎ Fax  
E-mail christian.chavanel@sncf.fr

UNIFE

M./Hr./Mr. Sebastian Giera  
Legal Counsel  
Bombardier Transportation GmbH  
Schoeneberger Ufer 1-3  
DE-10785 Berlin

☎ +49 (03) 98 607 19 79  
Fax  
E-mail sebastian.giera@rail.bombardier.com

M./Hr./Mr. Christian Zumpe  
Homologation Manager  
Siemens  
Werner-von-Siemens-Strasse 67  
91052 Erlangen  
Allemagne

☎ +49 (9131) 7 26955  
Fax +49 (9131) 828 26956  
E-mail Christian.Zumpe@siemens.com
### III. Secrétariat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Bas Leermakers</th>
<th>Head of Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel.: +41 (0)31 359 10 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:bas.leermakers@otif.org">bas.leermakers@otif.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mme/Fr./Ms.</th>
<th>Margarethe Koschmider</th>
<th>First Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel.: +41 (0)31 359 10 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:margarethe.koschmider@otif.org">margarethe.koschmider@otif.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Dragan Nešić</th>
<th>First Officer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel.: +41 (0)31 359 10 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:dragan.nesic@otif.org">dragan.nesic@otif.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M./Hr./Mr.</th>
<th>Çağlar Tabak</th>
<th>Trainee expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tel.: +41 (0)31 359 10 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:caglar.tabak@otif.org">caglar.tabak@otif.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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